The Effectiveness

by Rinto Hutapea

Submission date: 02-Aug-2019 03:22AM (UTC-0500)

Submission ID: 1152879931

File name: THE_EFFECTIVENESS_OF_INQUIRY.docx (34.42K)

Word count: 2284

Character count: 12995

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF INQUIRY BASED LEARNING FOR NATURAL SCIENCE LEARNING IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Dek Ngurah Laba Laksana

STKIP Citra Bakti, Nusa Tenggara Timur, Indonesia Email: laba.laksana@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper primarily emphasized on describing the use of inquiry in natural science learning at primary school. This study also exposed the validity of inquiry strategy in natural science learning to improve primary schools students' comprehension. Further, this present study employed a library research sourced from reputable journal articles in relation to the issue. Assisted inquiry strategy with 5E model became the one investigated. The model covered five phases namely, engaging, exploring, explaining, elaborating, and evaluating. Empirically, the inquiry strategy in natural science learning had proven improving the quality of learning and the learning achievement, particularly in conceptual learning.

Keywords: inquiry, natural science learning

Introduction

Meaningful learning is a consequence of paradigm shifting from behaviourism to constructivism. Meaningful learning is also a reflection in context of 21st learning that require a learning process which creates high quality of human resources. What it means to be high quality human resources is that having the ability to think critically, a problem-solver, and bringing beneficial effects for future (Jansen & Merwe, 2015).

Emphasising on meaningful learning aims at improving life skills as the essence of constructivist paradigm (Anderson & Krathwool, 2011). In the context of meaningful learning, learning should emphasize on inserting concepts, changing the background concept into critical scientific concept (Johnson, 2000). Thus, understanding a concept becomes fundamental to step on higher level of thinking (Minner, Levy, & Century, 2009).

The previous empirical studies in natural science have proven the importance of understanding a concept (Niaz, 2005; Turkmen, 2006). One of the important objectives of research in natural science is constructing a method that can help students to understand a scientific concept from alternative concepts. Alternative concept is often called as misconception because conceptual development needs a different method to deliver natural science subject. Misconception is often experienced by teachers (Laksana, 2014; Jonhston, 2000) or prospective teachers (Laksana, 2012; Buaraphan, 2011) in most concepts of natural science in elementary schools. This misconception needs special treatments in a form of specific strategy. Direct instruction is hard to be implemented in this case (Calik dkk 2007) Ates 2005)

Essentially, science has two dimensions. The first is science as a product and as a process. Natural science is a collection of knowledge covering facts, concepts, principles, and theories which then be called as science as a product. Meanwhile, science as a process covers skills and attitude that is needed to gain and develop new knowledge (Sadia, 1998). Science is not merely about memorizing information. Today's learning perspective relies much on the product but less on the process. In fact, understanding the body of knowledge, particularly science, cannot be reached without understanding its process.

The essence of science as a product as well as a process encourages the use of inquiry strategy in science learning (NRC, 2002; Choi et al., 2008). Inquiry strategy can be seen as the umbrella of inductive approach (Prince & Felder, 2007) and quite suitable to be implemented for deep understanding.

The paradigm of learning is oriented to project, problems, and inquiry, invention and creation (Ardhana, 2000). In line with that, Arends (2011) states some suitable strategy for teaching nowadays such as project-based learning, case-based learning, research-based learning, situation-based learning, inquiry-based learning, and Problem-Based Learning (PBL). This empirical findings indicates that in every learning strategy needs an investigation.

Therefore, inquiry strategy is appropriate to be implemented for learning a concept as seen through constructivism theory. Inquiry strategy has been implemented several times in learning because of its beneficial effect on conceptual understanding development and cognitive strategy used in solving problems (NRC, 2002)

Inquiry based learning is claimed as the umbrella of inductive approach (Prince & Felder, 2007) and it is applicable to build a deep understanding. In the process of learning, the essence of inquiry based learning are

questioning and investigating students' prior knowledge. Therefore, inquiry based learning can be applied to understand a concept as seen from constructivism theory. Inquiry based learning has been applied several times in learning because of its beneficial effects in understanding a concept deeper as well as requiring critical thinking to solve a certain problem (NRC, 2002)

From the aforementioned explanation, this study aims at investigating the following matters, (1) how to design natural science learning in elementary schools by using inquiry based learning? (2) How is the effectiveness of inquiry-based learning in science subject in improving the quality of learning?

Method

This study is a library research that examines conceptual theories on how to design a teaching-learning process through inquiry based learning. Empirical study from any related literature and reputable journal articles are used as the source to solve the problems in this paper.

Findings and Discussion

Designing Natural Science Learning with Inquiry Based Learning in Elementary Level

Not all of science teachers apply this inquiry strategy. In fact, empirical findings prove that science learning should be based on inquiry based learning (Capps, & Crawford, 2013). Inquiry learning is a strategy where teachers and students learn scientific phenomena with scientific approach. All of them consists of several aspects such as thinking process where students observe, predict, suggest, plan a research, formulate a hypothesis, interpret data, control variable, testing, communicate, and conclude it (Nuangchalerm, 2014).

Bruner states four reasons to use inquiry based learning, namely potential intelligence, intrinsic motive, heuristic of inquiry learning, and memory conservation. With the potential intelligence, Bruner conveys that an individual learns and develop their thoughts by using their potential. Bruner emphasizes that only people who learn through inquiry based learning has chance to do this.

Inquiry based learning is divided into three types, those are guided inquiry, free inquiry, and modified inquiry (Spencer & Tracy, 2012). In its relation to learning in elementary school, guided inquiry is quite suitable to design an instruction. Guided inquiry utilises the 5E stages from Magee and Ryan (2012). The stages are engage, explore, explain, elaborate, and evaluate. It is a clear and attributive concept for teaching. This strategy uses this process to enable students to make their own definition from their understanding of a concept as follows.

- 1. Engagement. Teacher delivers one or more simple questions, but slowly drives them to more complex one. For instance, teacher begins with. "do you know that oil and gas come from fossil?"
- Exploration. In this phase, students are divided intro groups to have scientific experiment. This structure and design help students to observe how is the layer of earth and fossil fuels
- 3. Explanation. Teacher explains how fossil fuels are formed by referring to ttext, journal articles, or internet
- Elaboration. This phase includes making a trace of fossil, comparing fossil, and creating a model that simulate
 the process of how fossil fuels are created.
- Evaluation. This phase focuses on accessing students understanding through any means possible such as questionnaire, journals, or field notes.

From those phases, the design of inquiry based learning in class is provided on the table 1.

Table 1. Teaching and Learning Process in Inquiry based Learning

	Table 1. Teaching and Learning Process in Inquiry based Learning		
Phase	Learning Activities		
	Teacher Students		
Engage	Building up students curiosity and interest Being curious about a topic		
	 Confirming students' prior knowledge Expressing their prior knowledge about the 		
	about a concept or idea topic		
	3) Inviting students to express their ideas 3) Asking their teacher particular question such		
	4) Allowing students to ask questions as "Do I know about this? What do I feel about		
	this? How do I find this out?"		
Explore	5) Encouraging the interaction to happen 4) Investigating and observing, and then		
	among students by grouping them describing as well as taking notes for their data from the teacher's illustration		
	, 0 1		
	each member can argue and express their 5) Trying another strategy to solve their ideas		
	7) Giving enough time allotment for students 6) Using their daily experience to help them		
	who are still confuse with the topic compare their ideas to their previous one		
	(conflict cognitive) 7) Comparing ideas among students		
Explain	8) Encouraging students to utilise their 8) Explaining their concept by their own		
	experience and the knowledge they get from language		

Phase	Learning Activities		
rnase	Teacher	Students	
	the engagement and exploration phase to construct an explanation 9) Giving a guided questions to help them construct an explanation 10) Asking for proofs and justification about the students' explanation 11) Giving enough time for students to compare their work with others as input for revision 12) Introducing particular terms and alternative explanation after students express their ideas	 9) Proving their explanation with evidences from their result of investigation 10) Noting the ideas and knowledge that they get 11) Stating their ideas using scientific term 12) Comparing their ideas to other groups 	
Elaborate	 13) Focusing students' attention on the relation between the new concepts and the concept they create from experience 14) Encouraging students to use whatever they learn to explain their ideas or new phenomenon 	Linking the conceptual definition and their experience Maximizing what they learned to explain a new concept Explaining with scientific terms Describing their answers and concluding	
	 15) Giving reinforcement to student with scientific terms that has been introduced previously 16) Delivering questions to help students in reasoning 	from the data and evidences	
Evaluate	17) Observing and taking notes from students' demonstration on explaining materials and material delivery	certain concepts and hoow they apply their skills	
	18) Interviewing students about meaning and the improvement of their concepts	and finding solution on how to revise the	
	19) Encouraging students to di self-assessment	ideas 20) Conducting a self-assessment 21) Delivering a deeper question about a certain topic or concepts	

In inquiry based learning, teacher comes up with a problem and asks students to decide the process to find the solution. A guided inquiry is very important to be implemented for some reasons, (1) students are expected to have good science literacy in solving their problems, and for that reason students need to actively participate in their level that is suitable to their science activity with teacher guidance, (2) this learning strategy is very important for young learners because they need a concrete experience of learning (Redhana, 2009)

From inquiry based learning, students will slow down their previous learning strategy to be able to organise and doing good observation. The biggest result in inquiry based learning is that students will have longer memory retention, thus they can apply it in a new situation. If students try to discover and build their knowledge independently, they will recall it and store it longer. Glazer's study shows that guided inquiry really helps students' development in solving problems, being creative, and doing an independent study.

Furthermore, inquiry based learning also helps students to be more responsible of their work. They also feel motivated when they learn to find new knowledge and process it within themselves. They are driven to be an autonomous learner who is inner-directed. For them, recognition of their work is the effort itself. They learn to actively manipulate their surroundings. They will reach satisfaction from being able to solve a problem. Bruner believes that students receive a satisfying intellectual sensation as an intrinsic appreciation. On the other side, teachers can give extrinsic reward. If teachers want their students to learn comfortably, they need to plan a learning situation that triggers students' intrinsic recognition (Redhana, 2009).

Inquiry based learning enables teachers to provide a proper situation to stimulate students to learn. Teacher's role is to be a facilitator who is responsive to student's needs. Teacher's position as the source of information and reward provider needs to be put aside and let students to try without any hesitation.

The essence of guided inquiry based learning is questioning (Cook & Gayle, 2014). Questioning does not only help teachers to decide what is already known by students, but also push them to learn more diligently. Questioning is a basic to inquiry based learning or constructivist learning (Carin, 1997). In relation to questioning, Lawson states that in order teacher to succeed in teaching, they need to use this strategy to guide and direct students to have their own investigation. In guiding the students, teacher can deliver two types of questions such as divergent or evaluative questions. Divergent and evaluative questions will attract some response from students and trigger their creativity to think critically. Divergent questions do not expect a single absolute answer but plural. On the other hand, convergent question is the one which needs single absolute answer (Redhana, 2009).

To sum up, this study proves that students tend to choose inquiry based learning compared to books oriented science learning. Inquiry based learning will cause students to: 1) love science more because it is fun and interesting; 2) try to obtain more knowledge on natural science; 3) feel that science is very applicable for their daily life; and 4) having positive perspective on science (Llewellyn, 2013).

The Effectiveness of Inquiry Based Learning in Natural Science Learning as an Effort to Fix the Ouality of Learning

According to the theory of instructional design, the learning outcome is the overall effect which can be used as indicators about score and learning method in a certain situation. The learning outcome cover a broad sense of aspects starting from effectiveness, efficiency, and appeal (Reigeluth, 1999). From the effectiveness aspect (the achievement of instructional goals), it covers cognitive areas, affective and psychomotor. The cognitive areas also has a large cover and therefore a new specific taxonomy is needed for this area to specify and clearing the instructional goals to study the effectiveness of a learning method.

Some taxonomy are stated to understand how deep cognitive areas influences the learning outcome. The taxonomy stated actually has some similar characteristics each other, yet they have other term to express it.

The goals of education does not only emphasize on the amount of knowledge and materials, especially only recalling some facts. In line with that statement, Gagne (1985) argues that students' learning condition is directed to let them practice to solve problems. It is high capability in thinking skills and conceptual understanding. Its relation to the goals of education is to develop the ability to understand a concept, and it has been proven by a number of experts (Young et al., 1996; Warpala, 2008).

The undergoing process to gain a new knowledge need to consider students' prior knowledge (Dochy, 1996) and the utilisation of surroundings as the learning source (Capps & Crawford, 2013). It implies that teacher should optimize the quality of learning through careful selection of teaching method or strategy and referring to the variation of message delivery, curriculum substance, and direct them toward deep understanding. Based on this explanation, reaching the learning goals should cover four major aspects, 1) choosing appropriate method or strategy, 2) accommodating students prior knowledge in learning, 3) utilising the surrounding condition which the students can get in touch with, 4) changing in assessment procedure so that it corresponds to the essential goal of science learning.

This study also focuses on cognitive learning outcome, particularly on the understanding aspect. Accordingly, Wiske & Beatty (2009:232)states that The teaching for understanding framework emphasizes goals that require students to go beyond "the information given", to construct their own understanding, and to apply their knowledge flexibly and creatively.

Kima (2012) finds out that a good and well-structured inquiry based learning can improve beginners in natural science learning. This is supported by Kim et al. (2014) who reveal that there is a significant difference in students' natural science learning achievement and their attitude to science.

Conclusion

From the aforementioned explanation, the researcher comes to two conclusions, 1) there are several steps to design learning with inquiry based learning, those are engagement (delivering one or more simple questions and slowly moves to the complex one), exploration (grouping students to have an experiment), explanation (teacher explains the material by referring to various sources such as books, journal articles, internet), elaboration (finding solution, comparing to other studies, creating a model to proof a theory), evaluation (teacher tries to get access to students knowledge through tests, questionnaire, journal, or field notes). (2) Inquiry strategy has proven empirically being able to improve the quality of learning particularly on learning a concept.

The Effectiveness

ORIGINALITY REPORT

SIMILARITY INDEX

INTERNET SOURCES

PUBLICATIONS

7%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

journal.unnes.ac.id Internet Source

Submitted to Mid-America Christian University

Student Paper

Submitted to Leeds Metropolitan University

Student Paper

Submitted to Republic of the Maldives

Student Paper

www.ukessays.com

Internet Source

Submitted to Western Governors University

Student Paper

Exclude quotes

Off

Exclude matches

< 1%

Exclude bibliography

On